APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 6 August 2008

| В. | DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Seattle District – Snohomish County PUD, NWS-2008-1020-NO | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| C. | PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: WA County/parish/borough: Snohomish Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 47.7826801878851 °N, Long121.779476799221 °W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 10 N E | | | |
| | Name of nearest waterbody: Youngs Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Skykomish River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Puget Sound, 17110019 ☐ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. | | | |
| D. | REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 6 August 2008 Field Determination. Date(s): | | | |
| A. The | CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. The are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review in [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: | | | |
| | CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. The Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: Stream - 350 linear feet: wide acre Wetlands: | | | |
| | c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: | | | |
| | CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS | | | |
| A. B. | TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" | | | |

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

(RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

| D. | TH | TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY): |
|----|-------------|--|
| | 1. | TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: |
| | 2. | RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: USGS and WDFW identify Youngs Creek as a perennial stream. ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows |
| | | seasonally: |
| | | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 350 linear feet: wide acre Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: |
| | 3. | Non-RPWs ⁴ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. |
| | 4. | Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. |
| | 5. | Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. |
| | 6. 7. | Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁵ |
| E. | | DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, |
| υ. | DE | GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):6 |
| F. | | n-jurisdictional waters, including wetlands (check all that apply): |
| | | |
| SE | CTIC | ON IV: DATA SOURCES. |
| Α. | SUP | PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below): |
| | | Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: project drawings, wetland delineation, and |
| | | gation plan. |
| | | Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. |
| | _ | Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. |
| | | Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. |
| | | Data sheets prepared by the Corps: . |
| | | Corps navigable waters' study: |
| | | U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: |
| | | USGS NHD data. |
| | | USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. |
| | \boxtimes | U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5min, Sultan Quad. |
| | \exists | USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: |
| | | State/Local wetland inventory map(s): WA Dept. of Ecology, 2001. |
| | | |

(National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Other information (please specify): B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Applicable/supporting case law: . Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

100-year Floodplain Elevation is:

FEMA/FIRM maps:

⁴See Footnote # 3.
⁵ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):WA Dept of Ecology, 2005. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

⁶ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.